

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/766,336</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> HO ET AL</p>
<p><b>Examiner</b> Stephen Alvesteffer</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 2175</p>	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record.

(2) David J. McKenzie, Reg. #46,919, for applicant.

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:00PM

  

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
N/A

**Claims discussed:**  
43-52

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Claims 43-52 recited a utility that can be interpreted as software per se under 35 USC 101. Discussed making an Examiner's Amendment to put the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

/Stephen Alvesteffer/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2175

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)